

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/027,777                             | 02/23/1998       | HANSUELI IMMER       | P108099-00001       | 3626             |
| 7590 11/10/2004                        |                  |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC |                  |                      | SCHWADRON, RONALD B |                  |
| 1050 Connecticut Avenue<br>Suite 600   |                  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  | N, DC 20036-5339 | ,                    | 1644                |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/027,777   | IMMER ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| harioty housin  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   | Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.   | 1644   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | oid abandonment of this applica<br>a timely filed amendment whicl  | ation. A proper reply to a<br>h places the application in  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THOUSE OF THE WATER OF THE W | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 October 2004</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF   | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further  | ·  | see NOTE below);   |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | •  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | n better form for appeal by mate   | rially reducing or simplifying the   |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancelli   | ng a corresponding number of fi  | inally rejected claims.  |  |  |  |
| NOTE:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se  | eparate, timely filed amendment  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <i>the</i>   | reconsideration has been cons<br>rejection of claim 36 is maintained   | idered but does NOT place the for the reasons of record.   |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY t   | o issues which were newly  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment ( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo   | (s) a)  will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo  | )⊠ will be entered and an<br>w or appended.  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 36.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 35.  |  | ·  |  |  |  |
| 8 The drawing correction filed on is a) appr  | oved or b) disapproved by t  | the Examiner.  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen  | -  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  | ися  | ALD B. SCHWADRON RIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1900 (600)  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The previously pending rejections of claim 37 are withdrawn in view of the cancellation of said claim.